



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,315	04/21/2004	Joel R. Studin	SDF 04-15	5670
7590	10/12/2006		EXAMINER SHEIKH, HUMERA N	
Stuart D. Frenkel Suite 330 3975 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030			ART UNIT 1615	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/829,315

Applicant(s)

STUDIN, JOEL R.

Examiner

Humera N. Sheikh

Art Unit

1615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 July 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 17-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/08/2004.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Humera N. Sheikh
Humera N. SHEIKH
Primary Examiner
TC-1600

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Receipt of the Preliminary Amendment filed 04/21/04 and the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed 07/08/04 is acknowledged.

Claims 17-29 are pending in this action. Claims 1-16 and 30-54 have been cancelled. Claims 17-29 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 17, 19-21 and 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang (US Pat. No. 6,528,086 B2).

The instant invention is drawn to a method of treating immunological skin disorders comprising: applying onto an area of skin affected by said skin disorder a fluid, film-forming carrier, having contained therein a steroid, and hardening the carrier into a tangible membrane juxtaposed to said affected area.

Zhang ('086) teaches methods and formulations for dermal drug delivery on a human body surface comprising less than solid anesthetic formulations and delivery systems that can be applied to the skin or compromised surfaces and subsequently converted to a soft coherent solid state and then peeled off after the anesthetic effect is achieved (see Abstract); (column 1, lines 9-23). The formulation comprises a topically delivered drug, a conversion agent and a vehicle medium or carrier, wherein the drug is dispersed in the carrier (col. 3, lines 20-22). At the time of application of the formulation to the skin, the formulation is in a less-than-solid phase. At the conclusion of the treatment, the formulation is a coherent, soft solid that can be cleanly peeled from the skin (col. 3, lines 23-29).

The formulation contains active ingredients of topical and local anesthetic agents and systemic circulation and regional tissue drugs of analgesics, hormones and anti-inflammatory agents (col. 14, lines 55-61).

According to Zhang, the topically delivered drug or pharmaceutical can be a single drug, such as a single local anesthetic or a combination of drugs (*i.e.*, eutectic mixture of lidocaine and tetracaine). The drug may be dispersed throughout the formulation in a solid form, dissolved in oil droplets, which are dispersed in the vehicle medium, or in aqueous solution within the vehicle

Art Unit: 1615

medium. The drug should be capable of transdermal delivery. The vehicle medium facilitates application of the formulation and delivery of the drug. Permeation enhancers may also be added (col. 3, lines 10-58).

The conversion agent provides the formulation with the ability to change from one phase to another more solid and coherent phase, such as from a liquid or cream to a soft solid. The formulation is applied to a patient's skin in such a way as to form a continuous layer of formulation. When the phase change occurs, the solidified formulation is more easily removed from the patient's skin. The formulation does not leave behind residues or films. Zhang teaches that a unique feature of his invention is that the solid phase is coherent and has certain strength so it can be peeled off the body surface as a layer, leaving little residual formulation. The formulation will be flexible and not brittle (see col. 3, line 59 – col. 4, line 9).

Zhang teaches the use of polyvinyl alcohol as an ingredient in the cream formulation of his invention (col. 4, lines 22-32).

Cellulose derivatives are disclosed at column 12, lines 13-25.

Various drugs and pharmaceutical agents can be included in the formulation, such as dermatological agents; drugs for promoting wound healing; drugs for treating warts and moles; drugs for treating ulcerated skin; drugs for treating insect bites and minor cuts; anti-inflammatory agents (e.g., *corticosteroids*); analgesics (narcotic agents, *steroids*); vitamins; agents for treating necrotic tissues and dermal ulcers used in debridement (e.g. collagenase); hormones and the like (col. 11, lines 16 – col. 14, line 64).

The various Tables and examples demonstrate different applications of the invention. For example, Table A (Formulation I) at column 7, shows a formulation comprising a

Art Unit: 1615

pharmaceutical agent (eutectic mixture), polyvinyl alcohol, glycerol, lecithin, Water Lock® and water in various percentage weights wherein it states that Formulation I should be easy to apply and remove (i.e., in form of cream, paste) when applied to the skin, but should form a solid gel so that it can be easily 'peeled off' the skin without leaving a mess on the skin. Tables B and onwards demonstrate anesthetic formulations comprising mixtures of anesthetics and ingredients.

Zhang teaches that one of the advantages of his invention is that it obviates the need to remove the cream from the skin by extensive washing or cleansing of the skin. When the desired anesthetic effect is achieved, the solid gel is peeled off the skin area, leaving virtually no residual mess on the skin. The skin area is anesthetized and if desired can be subjected to a medical treatment or procedure (col. 9, line 45 – col. 10, line 9).

Zhang teaches drug formulations and delivery systems that can be applied to and then peeled off the skin and/or off compromised human body surfaces after the drug delivery is achieved. There is no significant distinction observed between the instant method and the methods of the prior art since Zhang explicitly teaches methods of drug delivery comprising active ingredients, such as anti-inflammatory agents (e.g., corticosteroids) and dermal-treating drugs in combination with fluid carriers and conversion agents wherein the formulation can be cleanly peeled off the skin.

Thus, given the explicit teachings of Zhang delineated above, the instant invention, when taken as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claims 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang (US Pat. No. 6,528,086 B2) as applied to claims 17, 19-21 and 23-29 above and further in view of Herb *et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 5,534,246).

The instant invention is drawn to a method of treating immunological skin disorders comprising: applying onto an area of skin affected by said skin disorder a fluid, film-forming carrier, having contained therein a steroid, and hardening the carrier into a tangible membrane juxtaposed to said affected area.

The teachings of Zhang are delineated above.

Zhang does not teach skin disorders, such as dermatitis or psoriasis and does not teach phenyltrimethicone.

Herb *et al.* ('246) teach topically-effective compositions comprising topically-active drugs that include dermatitis medications and psoriasis agents (see column 9, lines 46-51); (col. 10, lines 11-12). Herb *et al.* also teach that nonvolatile organic compounds, such as phenyltrimethicone can also be added to the compositions to provide an aesthetic effect or for adjusting the refractive index (col. 12, lines 41-54); (Claims 20 & 35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dermatitic/psoriatic medications and phenyltrimethicone organic compound as taught by Herb *et al.* within the delivery formulations of Zhang. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so with a reasonable expectation of success because Herb *et al.* explicitly teach that suitable and effective active agents for use in their formulation include dermatitis and psoriasis medications to treat skin conditions and also teach that organic

Art Unit: 1615

compounds, such as phenyltrimethicone are added to the composition to provide either aesthetically-based effects or adjustment of refractive index values. The expected result would be an enhanced and effective method for treating an array of skin conditions.

Pertinent Art

Prior Art made of record, not relied upon and deemed relevant by the Examiner:

US Patent No. 5,446,070 *Mantelle* 08/1995

US Patent No. 4,937,078 *Mezei et al.* 06/1990

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Humera N. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0604. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00A.M. to 5:30P.M., alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1615

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Humera N. Sheikh

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615

September 30, 2006

Humera N. Sheikh
TC-1600

hns